

















Rapid Earthquake Damage Assessment

Consortium

Konstantinos Papatheodorou REDACt project Coordinator Serres, 24 November 2023





Project info



- Funding Programme: Black Sea Basin Joint Operational Programme 2014-20
- Budget: 974.860,00 € (ENI 896.871,20 €)
- Duration: 1 July 2020 30 June 2023 (initially 31 December 2022)
- Grant Contract: N° 88712/26.06.2020, eMS BSB-966



Project Timeline





Project Timeline

	Activity	Starting	End	Deliverables	Deliverable DATE	J1 A2	83 G4	N P R #1	D8 J7	75 P 1	H A M P 10 11	12 N	J A 13 14	s 15	0 N D 16 17 18	J 18 4	F M 20 21	A M 22 23	N P J 24 28 5	A 8 26 27	N P 0 N 28 25	4 C 9 30	J P 31 # 7	F M 32 33	A M 34 35	N PJ # 36 3 8	J A 87 38		
Μ.	Management	1/7/2020	31/12/2022		Responsible: IHU					-			_		_							-							LEGEND
A.M.1	Project coordination and management	1/7/2020	31/12/2022	D.M. 1.1 Progress reports D.M. 1.2 Interim report D.M. 1.3 Final report	D.M.1.1. Months: O5, M9, J13, D16, F20, J24, O28 D.M.1.2. Months: S15 D.M.1.3. Months: 3 months AFTER the END													6											Current duration (Notification #8) AF_BSB- 968_REDACt_20220922_193101
A.M.2	Financial management	1/7/2020	31/12/2022	D.M.2.1 Procurements D.M.2.2 Financial report (Interim) D.M.2.3 Financial report (Final)	D.M.2.1. J8 D.M.2.2. M12 or J13 D.M.2.3. 3 months AFTER the END																							1	Requested implementation period Extension
A.M.3	Project meetings	1/7/2020	31/12/2022	D.M.3.1 Kickoff meeting in Serres (Greece) D.M.3.2 Project meeting in Islanbul or Kocaeli (Turkey) D.M.3.3 Project meeting in Constanta Romania) D.M.3.4 Project meeting in Thessaloniki (Greece)	D.M.3.1. F8 D.M.3.2. J12 D.M.3.3. JO16 D.M.3.4. O28 D.M.3.5. J36 (please check the comment on the column title)																								
A.M.4	Expenditure verification and audit control	1/7/2020	31/12/2022	D.M.4.1 Expenditure verification report (interim) D.M.4.2 Expenditure verification report (final)	D.M.4.1. S15 D.M.4.2. 3 months AFTER the END																								
WP T1	A harmonized approach for Rapid	1/7/2020	31/1/2021		Responsible: OUC																								
A.T1.1	REDA: Current status assessment in partners' countries	1/7/2020	31/12/2020	D.T1.1.1 Evaluation of REDA Capabilities in each partnar country	D.T1.1.1. Month D6																								
A.T1.2	Feasibility study of available methodologies for REDA	1/7/2020	31/12/2020	D.T1.2.1 Available methodologies for REDA	D.T1.2.1. D6																								
A.T1.3	System specifications for a harmonized REDA	1/7/2020	31/1/2021	D.T1.3.1 System specifications for a harmonized REDA	D.T1.3.1. M9													20-00-00											
A.T1.4	REDA system operational requirements	1/7/2020	31/1/2021	D.T1.4.1 REDA system operational requirements	D.T1.4.1. M9																								
WP T2	Development of the Repid Earthquase Derrage Assessment System (REDAS) and of a sharphone application to assessments acts and information	1/7/2020	31/1/2021	Main Outputs	RESPONSIBLE: GTU																								
A.T2.1	Data requirements and specifications	1/2/2021	30/8/2021	D.T2.1.1 Data requirements and specifications	D.T2.1.1. A14																						Τ		
A.T2.2	Data processing and harmonization	1/2/2021	30/10/2021	D.T2.2.1 Data processing and harmonization	D.T2.2.1. J36																								
A.T2.3	REDA platform development and maintenance	1/11/2020	30/10/2022	D.T2.3.1 REDA system	D.T2.3.1. J36																								
A.T2.4	REDACt Smartphone application development	1/11/2020	30/10/2022	D.T2.4.1 REDACt smartphone app for ANDROID and IOS	D.T2.4.1. J36																								
WP T3	Implementation of REDA	1/3/2021	30/10/2022	Main Outputs	Responsible: ITSAK															1									
	Ground motion prediction equations and			D.T3.1.1 Ground motion prediction equations and	1																								
A.T3.1	seismic sources selection & evaluation for pilot investigation areas	1/3/2021	31/10/2021	seismic sources selection & evaluation in REDASS (pilot investigation areas)	D.T3.1.1. O16																								
A.T3.2	hazard assessment (pilot study)	1/3/2021	31/10/2022	D.13.21 Earthquake triggered geotechnical nazard assessment (pilot study)	D.T3.2.1. J36																								
A.T3.3	Earthquake damage assessment of buildings in urban areas (pilot study)	1/3/2021	31/10/2022	D.T3.3.1 Earthquake damage assessment of buildings in urban areas (pilot study)	D.T3.3.1. J36																								
A.T3.4	Earthquake damage assessment of natural gas pipelines (pilot study)	1/3/2021	31/10/2022	D.T3.4.1 Earthquake damage assessment of natural gas pipelines (pilot study)	D.T3.4.1. J36																								
A.T3.5	REDACt Educational Hub	1/3/2021	31/10/2022	D.T3.5.1 REDACt Tutorials for Earthquake Risk Mitigation	D.T3.5.1 J36 (please check the comment on the column title)																								
с	Communication	1/7/2020	31/12/2022		Responsible: IHU					Г																			
A.C.1	Digital activities	1/7/2020	31/12/2022	D.C.1.1 Project website creation and maintenance D.C.1.2 Social Media posts and presentations D.C.1.3 Visibility & Dissemination material (videos, presentations)	D.C.1.1. 1st Deliverable S4, 2nd (update) J8, Final J36 D.C.1.2. J36 D.C.1.3. J36																								
A.C.2	Promotional material	1/7/2020	31/12/2022	D.C.2.1 Leaflets and brochures D.C.2.2 Posters	D.C.2.1. J36 D.C.2.2. J36																								
A.C.3	Public Event(s)	1/9/2022	31/12/2022	D.C.3.1 Seminars D.C.3.2 Visibility events	D.C.3.1, J36 D.C.3.2, J36																								
AC4	Publication(s)	1/4/2021	31/12/20022	D.C.4.1 Publications	DC42 136														2										



Earthquake Disaster Prevention & Management

Actors

- Civil Protection Authorities at National, Regional and Local levels
- ✓ Volunteers
- ✓ The Public

Problems

Prevention & Preparedness phases

- Problems seeking solutions Need for Improved Prevention and Preparedness planning (based on informed) decisions and on Realistic Scenarios)
- ✓ Poor Preparedness status of the Public
- Poor Response of the Public during emergencies

During Emergency Situations – Response phase

- Civil Protection authorities
 - Lack of Situational Awareness
 - Difficulties of making decisions
- ✓ Poor Public Response
 - Panic,
 - Traffic Jams

Unknown safe (refuge) areas and unknown routes towards them



Concept





Main outputs

T.1. A harmonization REDA framework development, based on cross-border exchange of information. The framework has jointly been developed with the cooperation of competent Authorities at National level (NIEP Romania, AFAD Turkiye, EPPO Greece).

 T.2.1 Rapid Earthquake Damage Assessment System. It has been jointly developed and is operational fully covering Cross Border Areas.

 T.2.2. REDACt smartphone app. It has been developed and it's made available over Google play and Apple store.

T3.2. REDAS Service. The combined effect/result of the use of REDACt product/components, offers an added value and leads to an enhanced Community resilience against earthquakes.

Provides an interface with the Public (event related info, guidelines, allows for "felt" report submission.





8

- ✓ Joint Earthquake Hazard and Risk assessments over the pilot implementation areas have been implemented producing fully harmonized outputs for the entire area.
- Earthquake triggered Geotechnical hazard assessments over the pilot implementation areas have been implemented based on the selected models that have been incorporated into the REDA platform.
- The Educational Hub has been developed and populated with tips, guidelines, tutorials, popularized documents and maps of safe locations (Anatoliki Makedonia & Thraki only).
- An assessment of the service safe areas provide in major cities of Anatoliki Makedonia
 & Thraki has been done so, their spatial distribution can be evaluated and optimized.
- Seminars for stakeholders have been carried out in all partner cities. Additional meetings have been organized with stakeholders.
- Numerous presentations in International Conferences have been made to disseminate the project outputs and receive feedback by the scientific community.

REDACt The REDA System Outputs (a...sneak peak)



10



- A common reference framework to all participating countries and for uniform results to facilitate joint development and implementation.
- Ground Motion Prediction Equations fit for the entire cross border area were selected (Greece and Turkey: Boore et al. with bias, 2001; Boore et al. without bias, 2001; Chiou and Youngs, 2014).
- Buildings were classified in appropriate typologies based on common features affecting their seismic response/vulnerability:
 - ✓ Material (reinforced concrete, carrying masonry, steel, etc.)
 - Age (existing seismic design regulations)
 - Height (number of floors)
 - Seismic loads bearing system (frame etc.)
 - Abnormalities (if the case)
- ✓ Building blocks were considered as the basic geographical units.
- The Global Earthquake Model GEM was adopted and Seismic Risk Management Studies were also considered.

- ✓ Fragility curves proposed by Martins & Silva (2020)
- ✓ Used by the European Seismic Risk Model 2020 (ESRM 2020)
- Have been widely used and systematically evaluated by numerous research projects
- ✓ They cover satisfactorily the building stock in all REDACt partner countries
- Consider 4 damage states (DS1: slight, DS2: moderate, DS3: extensive and DS4: complete), defined over economic financial terms (recovery cost to cost reconstruction)



Rapid Earthquake Damage Assessment platform

The REDACt platform provides:

- ✓ Scenario based Earthquake Damage Assessment* and
- Near Real-Time ("Rapid") Earthquake Damage Assessment* based on data provided in real time by ITSAK (Greece), AFAD (Türkiye) and NIEP (Romania).
- * "Damage assessment" refers to **buildings, gas pipelines and geotechnical failures** such as landslides and liquefactions, triggered by earthquakes.

REDA platform outputs were (preliminary) evaluated by comparison to damage statistics of the Thessaloniki 1978 earthquake (Penelis et al., 1984; Leventakis 2003).

The geotechnical hazard outputs were evaluated with actual **failure data from Lefkas (2015) and Pinias (2021) earthquakes**.





12

- Satisfactory convergence with the statistics of building damage.
- There is no direct (1-1) matching between the damage states (left) and the damage classification scheme used in 1978 (right).

Evaluation of outputs – Geotechnical Hazards





14

Subjects considered:

- Emergency response efficiency and Public behavior
- Compliance to Rules and guidelines
- Understanding the psychology of Warnings
- ✓ Social Vulnerability or...what is the Public interested in, during emergencies?
- Emerging problems during emergencies (identified by competent authorities).

Answers to problems - the REDACt Educational Hub (EDU-HUB)

- Communication during emergencies How to communicate and share important information (Sharing live location & messages over VOiP).
- Education to process the information and to comprehend the Risks The EDU-HUB content
- Popularized Education for Earthquake Risk mitigation
- Earthquake preparedness Self assessment The EDU-HUB Quiz
- Navigation capable maps of Safe locations
- \checkmark Safe locations, their service areas and their spatial distribution and coverage

The **REDACT** Educational Hub

Problems identified in respect to Public Response



- Unawareness of safe locations
- The suitable time people can safely stay there
- The possible routes towards them

Another way of providing ... tips & guidelines!

Provide solutions

Factors affecting Compliance to Warnings!

- Cost of compliance
- Perception of threat/Danger
 - Familiarization
 - Dilution
 - Warning appearance
- Decision making
 - Taking the Risks
 - Control perception & Partial Compliance
 - Social factors







https://www.redact-project.eu/educational-hub/



The REDACt Educational Hub





The REDACt Educational Hub ... plus!





CROSS BORDER

The REDACt Educational Hub ... plus 2!



https://www.redact-project.eu/educational-hub/ Common borders. Common solutions.



REDA platform is receiving real-time data from major earthquake monitoring networks in the area:

- Institute of Engineering Seismology & Earthquake Engineering (ITSAK), Earthquake Planning Protection Organization (EPPO)
- Disaster and Emergency Management
 Presidency -AFAD (Türkiye)
- National Institute for Earth Physics -NIEP (România)



Six (6) identical REDA platforms have been installed into partner Institutions and more will be installed in stakeholder institutions (who want to adopt the platform).

Results, Capitalization, Sustainability





COOPERATION

Project info & Supporters



Funding Programme: Black Sea Basin Joint **Operational Programme 2014-20**

- Budget: 974.860,00 € (ENI 896.871,20 €)
- Duration: 1 July 2020 30 June 2023 (initially 31 December 2022)





Acknowledgments



Project funded by EUROPEAN UNION



Aug Service

REDACt







For more info please visit:

https://www.redact-project.eu/



2014 - 20

